IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.13557 of 2004

Between:

G.Upender Reddy, S/o.Raji Reddy, Aged 40 years, Occ: Business,

R/o.Rayagiri Village, Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments Department,

Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department,

Hyderabad.
3 The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments

Department, Hyderabad.
4 The Executive Officer, Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Devasthanam, Yadagirigutta, Nalgonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in issuing proceedings No.T/1233/2001 dt.30-7-2004 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the 3rd respondent to continue the petitioner for supplying the flowers to the Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Temple, Yadagirigutta, Nalgonda District, as per the work order dt.3.6.2004.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.SRIKANTH REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.13557 of 2004

ORDER:

The writ petition is filed by the petitioner, who was issued a contract for supply of flowers to fourth respondent temple for a period of one year i.e., upto 31.3.2005 subject to the approval of the first respondent. The proposal was, accordingly, sent to the first respondent, who by impugned order dated 30.7.2004 cancelled the auction proceedings and directed to conduct fresh auction. These proceedings are assailed.

This Court while admitting the writ petition directed the third respondent to permit the petitioner to supply flowers as per the work order dated 03.6.2004.

When the interlocutory matters are pending for consideration today, it is brought to the notice of this Court that the contract period was upto 31.3.2005 and therefore, nothing survives in this writ petition. It is not denied. Now, as per the directions of the first respondent, the third respondent has to conduct auction afresh. In that event, it shall be open to the petitioner to participate in the auction.

The writ petition, with the above observations, is dismissed.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

22.06.2005

YS

To

1 The Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments Department,

Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department,

Hyderabad.
3 The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments, Endowments

Department, Hyderabad.
4 The Executive Officer, Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Devasthanam,
Yadagirigutta, Nalgonda District.

5 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Endowments,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

6 Two C.D. Copies.